

Intensive Course in Research Writing

Barbara Gastel, MD, MPH
Texas A&M University
Summer 2016

Note

This slide set was adapted for inclusion in the AuthorAID resource library. Thus, for example, decorative images were deleted to limit file size, and announcements were removed.

You are welcome to use these slides in your own teaching. If you do so, please be sure to indicate the source.

Intensive Course in Research Writing:
Session 1 (27 June 2016)

Welcome!

Today

- The participants and the course
- Deciding when and where to submit a paper
- Defining a paper's focus and contribution
- Journals' instructions to authors
- Overview: the structure of papers
- Introduction: drafting an abstract
- Brief tour of building (optional)

Introductions

- The class members
 - Completion of form
 - Oral introductions
- The course staff
- The course
 - Syllabus
 - Textbook
 - Questions

The Course Staff

- *Instructor:* Barbara Gastel, MD, MPH
- *Instructional Associate:* Roberto Tuda, PhD
- *Editorial Associate:* Colin Young, PhD
- *Postgraduate Associates:* Gina Wadas, MS; Bryan Demapan, MS

AuthorAID website (www.authoraid.info)
Contains resources on aspects of research communication

Preparing to Write a Paper

- Deciding when to publish
- Choosing a target journal
- Exercise: defining the focus and contribution of your paper
- Using journals' instructions to authors

Deciding What (or When) to Publish

- Some factors to consider: quality of the work, extent of the work, interest to others
- Suggestions:
 - Seek guidance in this regard from others in your field who are more experienced in publishing journal articles.
 - Present your work orally first. Doing so can help in deciding whether the work is publishable and in shaping the paper.

Identifying a Target Journal: Some Basics

- If possible, decide early (before drafting the paper). It's better not to write the paper and then look for a journal. (Why?)
- Look for journals that have published work similar to yours.
- Consider journals that have published work you will cite.
- Consult the journal's website and instructions to authors.

Some Factors to Consider

- Aims and scope of journal
- Audience
- Prestige
- Impact [to be discussed more later]
- Access (open access; general accessibility)
- Speed of acceptance and publication; availability of article-based (continuous) publication
- ?Quality of reproduction of figures?
- Publication costs, if any
- Likelihood of acceptance

To Be Avoided: Predatory Journals

- “Journals” that obtain publication fees but are not valid peer-reviewed scholarly publications
- Some clues that a journal *might* be predatory (especially if several such items are present):
 - Unrealistically broad scope
 - Unrealistically short stated turnaround times
 - Flashy but poorly crafted, ungrammatical websites
 - Fake metrics
 - Incomplete contact information
 - Inclusion in [Beall's List](#)

Some Research

- Frank E. Authors’ criteria for selecting journals. JAMA 1994;272:163-164.
- Presents findings from one medical school
- Interesting: criteria differed for first and later submissions of paper
 - Top factors for first submission: prestige, readership, usual content of journal
 - Top factors for later submissions: likelihood of acceptance, usual content of journal

Impact

- Impact Factor (from Science Citation Index—Journal Citation Reports)
 - Indicates how much articles in the journal tend to be cited
 - Does not say how much a given article will be cited
 - Not valid for comparison from field to field
 - Changes over time
- Other impact—for example, on practice, policy, teaching, and media coverage

Moving Beyond Impact Factor: Some Resources

- [Article-Level Metrics: A SPARC Primer](#)
 - From SPARC (the Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition)
 - Discusses indicators of the impact of individual articles (for example, views, downloads, citations, social-media mentions, news coverage)
- [San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment](#) (“DORA”)

Exercise: Defining Your Paper’s Contribution and Focus

- Background: To identify a suitable target journal and to write an effective paper, you must define the focus and contribution of your work (the “take-home message”).
- Please tell others in your group what main question your research addresses and why that question is important. (Put another way: What important gap in knowledge is your research intended to fill?) Answer questions from other group members.
- Be ready to tell the full group, in 1 minute or less, this question and why it is important.

Journals’ Instructions to Authors

- Usual locations: on the journal’s website and in the journal
- A large collection of instructions to authors in the health sciences:
<http://mulford.utoledo.edu/instr/>
- General advice: Select your first-choice journal early, and obtain its instructions immediately.

Using the Journal's Instructions

- Read the instructions to authors before starting to prepare your paper.
- Consult the instructions while preparing your paper.
- Check the instructions again before submitting your paper.

Some Questions the Instructions May Answer

- What categories of article does the journal publish?
- What is the maximum length of articles?
- What is the maximum length of abstracts?
- What sections should the article include? What are the guidelines for each?
- Does the journal have a template for articles? If so, how can it be accessed?

Some Questions (cont)

- What guidelines should be followed regarding writing style?
- How many figures and tables are allowed? What are the requirements for them?
- In what format should references appear?
- Does the journal post supplementary material online? If so, how should it be provided?

Some Questions (cont)

- In what electronic format should the paper be prepared?
- How should the paper be submitted?

A Look at Some Journals' Instructions to Authors

- Example of short instructions: [Science Communication](#)
- Example of long instructions: [JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association](#)
- Another example of long instructions: [Journal of Bacteriology](#)

Beyond the Instructions

- Be sure to look at some recent issues of the journal (and some recent papers in those issues).
- Doing so can help you gear your paper to the journal.

An Assignment for Tomorrow

Please bring instructions to authors from 2 or 3 journals in your field. At least 1 set of instructions should be from a journal to which you might want to submit a paper about your research.

(You may bring hard copies, electronic copies, or links.)

Formats for Journal Articles Reporting Research

- One common format: IMRAD
 - Introduction: What was the question?
 - Methods: How did you try to answer it?
 - Results: What did you find?
 - (And)
 - Discussion: What does it mean?

Article Formats (cont)

- Formats can differ among academic fields.
- Non-IMRAD formats in some journals:
 - IRDaM
 - IMRDRD . . .
 - Essay format, with headings chosen by author
 - Other
- Question: In your field, what is the usual structure for papers reporting research?

Note

People read sections in various orders. Papers should be written accordingly.

Drafting an Abstract

- An abstract generally should be organized like a journal article (for example, in sort of a mini-IMRAD format).
- In some fields, there are structured abstracts (with standardized headings).

Sample Abstract

From: Pitkin RM, Burmeister LF. Prodding tardy reviewers: a randomized comparison of telephone, fax, and e-mail. JAMA 2002;287:2794-2795.

Abstract

Context To compare telephone, fax, and e-mail methods of prodding tardy reviewers.

Methods Randomized trial conducted January 1998 through June 1999 at the main editorial office of *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. Reviewers who had failed to file reviews by 28 days after being sent manuscripts (7 days after deadline) were sent identical messages in oral (telephone) or written (fax and e-mail) form inquiring as to the status of review, asking for its completion as soon as possible, and requesting it be sent by fax or e-mail.

Results Of 378 reviewers, proportions returning reviews within 7 days were essentially identical: telephone, 85 (68%) of 125; fax, 86 (67%) of 129; and e-mail, 84 (67%) of 124 ($P=.59$). In the two thirds who responded, the mean time to return reviews did not differ among the 3 groups.

Conclusion Contacting tardy reviewers resulted in a review being received within 7 days in about two thirds of cases, and it made no difference if the contact was made by telephone, fax, or e-mail.

The Abstract: A Closer Look

Context To compare telephone, fax, and e-mail methods of prodding tardy reviewers.

Methods Randomized trial conducted January 1998 through June 1999 at the main editorial office of *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. Reviewers who had failed to file reviews by 28 days after being sent manuscripts (7 days after deadline) were sent identical messages in oral (telephone) or written (fax and e-mail) form inquiring as to the status of review, asking for its completion as soon as possible, and requesting it be sent by fax or e-mail.

The Abstract: A Closer Look (cont)

Results Of 378 reviewers, proportions returning reviews within 7 days were essentially identical: telephone, 85 (68%) of 125; fax, 86 (67%) of 129; and e-mail, 84 (67%) of 124 ($P=.59$). In the two thirds who responded, the mean time to return reviews did not differ among the 3 groups.

Conclusion Contacting tardy reviewers resulted in a review being received within 7 days in about two thirds of cases, and it made no difference if the contact was made by telephone, fax, or e-mail.

An Assignment for Tomorrow

Please draft an informal abstract on the research about which you will write a paper. The abstract should run about 250 words (1 double-spaced page). If appropriate, use the following headings: Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusions.

Any Questions?

Again, welcome!